arXiv:1401.3712v3 [math.KT] 23 Jun 2015

THE K-THEORY OF ASSEMBLERS

INNA ZAKHAREVICH

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the notion of an assembler, which formally encodes "cutting and pasting" data. An assembler has an associated K-theory spectrum, in which π_0 is the free abelian group of objects of the assembler modulo the cutting and pasting relations, and in which the higher homotopy groups encode further geometric invariants. The goal of this paper is to prove structural theorems about this K-theory spectrum, including analogs of Quillen's localization and dévissage theorems. We demonstrate the uses of these theorems by analysing the assembler associated to the Grothendieck ring of varieties and the assembler associated to scissors congruence groups of polytopes.

Introduction

Scissors congruence groups appear in many different areas of mathematics, from classical geometry of Euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic space [DS82, Sah79, Dup01, Gon99] to the study of birational geometry and motivic integration [LL03, Loo02, NS11] to questions about definable sets and logic [Dri98, HK06]. However, as the contexts in which these appear are so different there is no general theory for the study of such groups, and very little exploration of their peculiar blend of geometry and combinatorics. In this paper we propose a framework for the study of these groups by replacing them with K-theory spaces. This framework is optimized to work with the combinatorial nature of cutting and pasting sets together and does not rely on any further algebraic structure.

Our approach constructs an object called an *assembler*, which encodes the data necessary to perform scissors congruence. The basic object underlying an assembler is a small Grothendieck site where all morphisms are monic; the topology then encodes exactly which objects "assemble" to form other objects. Two maps $A \longrightarrow C$ and $B \longrightarrow C$ are considered disjoint if $A \times_C B$ exists and is equal to the initial object. We prove the following theorem in Section 1.2.

Theorem A. *There exists a functor $K\colon \mathbf{Asm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ from the category of assemblers to the category of spectra such that for any assembler $\mathcal{C}$, $\pi_0 K(\mathcal{C})$ is the free abelian group generated by objects of $\mathcal{C}$ modulo the relations*

$$[A] = \sum_{i \in I} [A_i] \qquad \text{for any finite disjoint covering family } \{A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i \in I}.$$

The higher homotopy groups of assemblers encode further geometric information about the geometry of cutting and pasting. They are not generally trivial; for example, for the assembler whose underlying category is $\varnothing \longrightarrow *$ and which has the trivial topology, the K-theory has the homotopy type of the sphere spectrum. In a sequel [ZakB] we will construct generators for $\pi_1 K(\mathcal{C})$ and show that these are related to "self-scissors-congruences" of objects.

In this paper, however, we concern ourselves with more structural questions. It turns out that assemblers fall into a sweet spot in the definition of algebraic K-theory similar to the sweet spot found by Quillen [Qui73]. When Waldhausen [Wal85] developed a framework for the algebraic K-theory of spaces he had to discard many of the advantages of Quillen's exact categories; in particular, while he had an analog of Quillen's Localization Theorem ([Qui73, Theorem 5], [Wal85, Proposition 1.5.5]) he does not have an analog of Quillen's Dévissage [Qui73, Theorem 4]. This makes

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19D99, 14E07.

computations and analysis using Waldhausen's approach much more difficult than Quillen's. The approach used in this paper, while much more analogous to Waldhausen's combinatorial approach than Quillen's algebraic one, also has both localization and dévissage theorems.

Theorem B (Dévissage)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an assembler and $\mathcal{D}$ a subassembler. If for every object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a finite disjoint covering family $\{D_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i\in I}$ such that $D_i \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $i \in I$ then the induced map $K(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence of spectra.*

A subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ of an assembler $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *subassembler* if it is an assembler and the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a morphism of assemblers. This theorem is proved in Section 3.1. For examples of applications of this theorem, see Section 2.3 and [ZakA, Theorem A].

We also have a localization theorem; unfortunately, the most general form of the theorem requires passing to simplicial assemblers. We define a simplicial assembler to be a functor $\Delta^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Asm}$; for a simplicial assembler $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$ we define

$$K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot) = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[n]\in\Delta^{op}} K(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Any assembler $\mathcal{C}$ can be considered a simplicial assembler $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$ by taking the constant functor at $\mathcal{C}$. It turns out that inside the category of simplicial assemblers it is always possible to construct a nice model for the cofiber on K-theory of a morphism of assemblers.

Theorem C (Localization)**.** *Let $g\colon \mathcal{D}_\cdot \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\cdot$ be a morphism of simplicial assemblers. There exists a simplicial assembler $(\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$ with a morphism of simplicial assemblers $\iota\colon \mathcal{C}_\cdot \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$ such that the sequence*

$$K(\mathcal{D}_\cdot) \xrightarrow{K(g)} K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot) \xrightarrow{K(\iota)} K((\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot)$$

is a cofiber sequence.

This is proved in Section 4. This theorem gives a useful formal definition, but suffers from the same problem as many bar constructions: the homotopy type of a simplicial object can be difficult to identify. Even when $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$ and $\mathcal{D}_\cdot$ are constant simplicial assemblers, $(\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$ will not be; this generally makes using Theorem C difficult. However, in Section 5 we show that for certain types of morphisms of assemblers the cofiber turns out to be surprisingly simple.

Theorem D. *For an object A of $\mathcal{C}$, we say that $\mathcal{C}$ has complements for A if any morphism $A \longrightarrow B$ is in a finite disjoint covering family of B. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a subassembler of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{D}$ is a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$ and such that $\mathcal{C}$ has complements for all objects of $\mathcal{D}$. Then there exists an assembler $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ with objects $(\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{C}) \setminus (\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{D}) \cup \{\varnothing\}$ such that*

$$K(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$$

is a cofiber sequence.

As an application of this theory, in Section 2.3 we construct a spectral sequence relating the classical scissors congruence groups to Goodwillie's total scissors congruence groups [Goo] and McMullen's polytope algebra [McM89]. The differentials in this spectral sequence measure the difference between these groups; in particular, Goodwillie's groups split as sums of classical groups if and only if certain differentials in the spectral sequences are zero.

Theorem E. *Let $\mathcal{G}_n$ be the assembler whose objects are polytopes of dimension n in E^n, and let $\mathfrak{G}_n$ be the assembler whose objects are polytopes of dimension at most n; then the $\pi_0 K(\mathcal{G}_n)$ are the scissors congruence groups of Dupont and Sah and the $\pi_0 K(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ are the scissors congruence groups of Goodwillie. There exists a spectral sequence*

$$E^1_{p,q} = \pi_p K(\mathcal{G}_q) \Longrightarrow \pi_p(\mathfrak{G}_n) \qquad \text{for } q \leq n.$$

A discussion of the differentials of this spectral sequence is given in [ZakB, Section 5].

The theory developed in this paper will also be used in [ZakA] to prove the following theorem:

Theorem F. *Let $K_0[\mathcal{V}_k]$ be the Grothendieck ring of varieties. Any element x in the kernel of multiplication by $[\mathbb{A}^1]$ can be represented as $[X] - [Y]$ where X and Y are varieties such that $[X \times \mathbb{A}^1] = [Y \times \mathbb{A}^1]$ but $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ are not piecewise isomorphic.*

The theory of assemblers grew out of the theory of polytope complexes, developed in [Zak12, Zak13]. Every polytope complex produces an assembler (see Example 2.10) but not vice versa, and assemblers are much simpler to work with and much more flexible than polytope complexes. For this paper familiarity with polytope complexes is unnecessary, although some of the approaches used here are similar to those used in [Zak13].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we define assemblers and the K-theory functor and prove Theorem A. In Section 2 we discuss several examples of assemblers and prove Theorem E. Section 3 proves Theorem B and a reduction theorem. Section 4 prove Theorem C. Section 5 proves Theorem D.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Daniel Grayson, Mike Hopkins, Peter May and Chuck Weibel for many useful and interesting conversations and for looking at early drafts of this paper.

1. Abstract Scissors Congruence

In this section we introduce scissors congruence of abstract objects. We want to define a relation $A \simeq B$ on certain kinds of objects, where we say that $A \simeq B$ if A can be "decomposed" into "disjoint" pieces $A_1, \dots, A_n$, and B can be "decomposed" into "disjoint" pieces $B_1, \dots, B_n$ such that $A_i \cong B_i$ (for some definition of $\cong$). To be able to define this rigorously we introduce assemblers, which categorically codify this information in a natural way.

1.1. Assemblers.

In this section we define the notion of an "assembler," which is the fundamental object of study of this paper. For a discussion of several examples of assemblers, see Section 2.

Definition 1.1. In any category with an initial object $\varnothing$, we say that two morphisms $f\colon A \longrightarrow C$ and $g\colon B \longrightarrow C$ are *disjoint* if the pullback $A \times_C B$ exists and is equal to $\varnothing$. A family $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i \in I}$ is a *disjoint family* if for $i \neq i'$ the morphisms f_i and $f_{i'}$ are disjoint.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any category. A *sieve* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a full subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ such that for all A in $\mathcal{C}$, if there exists a morphism $A \longrightarrow B \in \mathcal{C}$ with $B \in \mathcal{D}$, then A is in $\mathcal{D}$. In other words, a full subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ is a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$ if it is closed under precomposition with morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$.

We define $\mathcal{C} \smallsetminus \mathcal{D}$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ containing all objects not in $\mathcal{D}$.

Note that any sieve in $\mathcal{C}$ must be equal to its essential image. Thus we can think of a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$ as a "layer" of $\mathcal{C}$ which sits below $\mathcal{C} \smallsetminus \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Grothendieck site. We denote the full subcategory of noninitial objects by $\mathcal{C}^\circ$. We say that a family of maps $\{A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i \in I}$ is a *covering family* if it generates a covering sieve in the topology.

An *assembler* is a small Grothendieck site $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following extra conditions:

- (I) $\mathcal{C}$ has an initial object $\varnothing$, and the empty family is a covering family of $\varnothing$.
- (R) For any A, any two finite disjoint covering families of A have a common refinement which is itself a finite disjoint covering family.
- (M) All morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are monomorphisms.

A *large assembler* is a Grothendieck site satisfying axioms (I), (R) and (M). An assembler is said to be *closed* if it has all pullbacks.

We can conclude from (M) that if A is noninitial in $\mathcal{C}$ then $\mathcal{C}(A, \varnothing) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1.4. If a Grothendieck site is closed under pullbacks then (R) always holds.

We can now rephrase the definition of scissors congruence in the following way:

Definition 1.5. Two objects A, B in an assembler $\mathcal{C}$ are *scissors congruent*, written $A \simeq B$, if there exist finite disjoint covering families $\{A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{B_i \longrightarrow B\}_{i=1}^n$ such that A_i is isomorphic to B_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 1.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$,$\mathcal{D}$ be two assemblers. A *morphism of assemblers* $F\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a continuous (in the sense of Grothendieck topologies) functor which preserves the initial object and disjointness. In other words, if $f\colon A \longrightarrow C$ and $g\colon B \longrightarrow C$ are disjoint in $\mathcal{C}$ then $F(f)$ and $F(g)$ are disjoint in $\mathcal{D}$. We denote the category of assemblers and morphisms of assemblers by **Asm**. The subcategory of closed assemblers and pullback-preserving morphisms of assemblers is denoted by c**Asm**.

We have the following:

Lemma 1.7. Asm *and* c**Asm** *have arbitrary products and coproducts.*

Proof. Let X be any set, and $\{\mathcal{C}_x\}_{x\in X}$ an X-tuple of assemblers. We write $\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x$ for the assembler whose objects are $\{\varnothing\} \cup \bigcup_{x\in X} \operatorname{ob}\mathcal{C}_x^\circ$ and whose morphisms between noninitial objects come from the $\mathcal{C}_x$. A morphism of assemblers $\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is then just a morphism $F_x\colon \mathcal{C}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ for each $x \in X$, so $\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x$ is the coproduct in **Asm**.

We write $\prod_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x$ for the assembler whose underlying category is $\prod \mathcal{C}_x$ and whose topology is the product topology where a family is a covering family exactly when its projection to every coordinate is. Then a morphism of assemblers $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \prod_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x$ is just an X-tuple of morphisms $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$, so this is the categorical product in **Asm**.

When restricting to c**Asm** the same proof applies. □

We will need one other construction on assemblers.

Definition 1.8. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an assembler and $\mathcal{D}$ a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ inherits an assembler structure from $\mathcal{C}$, where a family $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ is defined to be a covering family if there exists a family of morphisms $\{f_j\colon A_j \longrightarrow A\}_{j\in J}$ such that A_j is in $\mathcal{D}$ for all $j \in J$ and such that $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i\in I\cup J}$ is a covering family in $\mathcal{C}$.

In other words, a family in $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ is a covering family if it can be completed to a covering family in $\mathcal{C}$ by morphisms whose domains are in $\mathcal{D}$. There is a natural morphism of assemblers

$$\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}$$

sending each object in $(\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{C}) \setminus (\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{D})$ to itself and all objects in $\mathcal{D}$ to $\varnothing$.

Definition 1.9. We define the category $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ to have objects $\{A_i\}_{i\in I}$, where I is a finite set and A_i is noninitial for all $i \in I$. A morphism $f\colon \{A_i\}_{i\in I} \longrightarrow \{B_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is a map of sets $f\colon I \longrightarrow J$ together with a tuple of morphism $f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B_{f(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ such that for all $j \in J$ the family $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B_j\}_{i\in f^{-1}(j)}$ is a finite disjoint covering family. Note that $\mathcal{W}$ is a functor **Asm** $\longrightarrow$ **Cat**.

Proposition 1.10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an assembler.*

1. *All morphisms in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ are monomorphisms.*
2. *Any diagram $A \longrightarrow C \longleftarrow B$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ can be completed to a commutative square. If $\mathcal{C}$ is closed then $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ has all pullbacks.*
3. *For any tuple $\{\mathcal{C}_x\}_{x\in X}$,*
$$\mathcal{W}\Big(\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x\Big) \cong \bigoplus_{x\in X} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_x),$$
where $\bigoplus \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_x)$ is the full subcategory of $\prod \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_x)$ where all but finitely many of the objects are the object indexed by the empty set.

Proof. We prove these in turn. Proof of (1): Let $f\colon \{A_i\}_{i\in I} \longrightarrow \{B_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$, and consider two morphisms $g, h\colon \{C_k\}_{k\in K} \longrightarrow \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ such that $fg = fh$; we show that $g = h$. Consider any $k \in K$. Since $fg(k) = fh(k)$, which gives us the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_k & \xrightarrow{g_k} & A_{g(k)} \\ {\scriptstyle h_k}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_{g(k)}} \\ A_{h(k)} & \xrightarrow{f_{h(k)}} & B_{fg(k)} \end{array}$$

If $g(k) \neq h(k)$ then $f_{g(k)}$ and $f_{h(k)}$ are disjoint, and thus $C_k = \varnothing$, a contradiction. Thus $g(k) = h(k)$. But as each morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ is a monomorphism, this means that we must have $g_k = h_k$ as well.
Proof of (2): This follows directly from axiom (R); the second part follows from the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ if $\mathcal{C}$ has pullbacks.
Proof of (3): We have a functor

$$P\colon \mathcal{W}(\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x) \longrightarrow \prod_{x\in X} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_x)$$

induced by the morphisms of assemblers

$$F_x\colon \bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$$

which send all $\mathcal{C}_y$ for $y \neq x$ to the initial object, and send $\mathcal{C}_x$ to itself via the identity. As each object of $\mathcal{W}(\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x)$ is indexed by a finite set P must land in $\bigoplus_{x\in X} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_x)$, so we see that P is actually a functor $\mathcal{W}(\bigvee_{x\in X} \mathcal{C}_x) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x\in X} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_x)$. To see that P is an equivalence, note that it is full and faithful and hits all objects indexed by disjoint indexing sets. Essential surjectivity follows because for any finite tuple of finite sets there exists an isomorphic one where the sets are disjoint. □

1.2. **The K-theory of an assembler.** We are now ready to define the K-theory of an assembler.

Recall that the topological analog of the tensor product is the smash product $\wedge$, defined for pointed simplicial sets X and Y by

$$(X \wedge Y)_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_n \times Y_n / \left((X_n \times \{*\}) \cup (\{*\} \times Y_n) \right).$$

Let S^1 be the pointed simplicial set $\Delta^1/(\partial\Delta^1)$, whose set of n-simplices is $\{*, 1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $S^k = (S^1)^{\wedge k}$. We have an action of Σ_k on S^k which permutes the S^1 factors.

Definition 1.11. For a pointed set X and an assembler $\mathcal{C}$, write $X \wedge \mathcal{C}$ for the assembler $\bigvee_{x\in X\smallsetminus\{*\}} \mathcal{C}$. This gives a tensoring of **Asm** over $\mathbf{FinSet}_*$ (for the definition of a tensoring, see for example [Kel82, Section 3.7]). For any pointed set X we have an induced map

$$X \wedge N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow N\mathcal{W}(X \wedge \mathcal{C})$$

given by

$$X \wedge N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \bigvee_{X^\circ} N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow N\left(\bigoplus_{X^\circ} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \right) \cong N\mathcal{W}(X \wedge \mathcal{C}).$$

where $X^\circ = X \smallsetminus \{*\}$. This map is natural in X.

The spectrum $K(\mathcal{C})$ is defined to be the symmetric spectrum of simplicial sets where the k-th space is given by the diagonal of the bisimplicial set

$$[n] \longmapsto N\mathcal{W}((S^k)_n \wedge \mathcal{C}),$$

with the Σ_k-action induced from the action on S^k. Considering S^1 to be a bisimplicial set constant in one direction, we have a map of simplicial sets

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n\colon (S^1 \wedge N\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{C}))_n &\cong (S^1)_n \wedge N\mathcal{W}((S^k)_n \wedge \mathcal{C}) \\ &\longrightarrow N\mathcal{W}((S^1)_n \wedge (S^k)_n \wedge \mathcal{C}) \cong N\mathcal{W}((S^{k+1})_n \wedge \mathcal{C}).\end{aligned}$$

The spectral structure map $S^1 \wedge N\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow N\mathcal{W}(S^{k+1} \wedge \mathcal{C})$ is the diagonal of the map of bisimplicial sets φ.

We write $K_i(\mathcal{C})$ for $\pi_i K(\mathcal{C})$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem A.

Theorem A. *There exists a functor $K\colon \mathbf{Asm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ from the category of assemblers to the category of spectra such that for any assembler $\mathcal{C}$, $\pi_0 K(\mathcal{C})$ is the free abelian group generated by objects of $\mathcal{C}$ modulo the relations*

$$[A] = \sum_{i \in I} [A_i] \qquad \text{for any finite disjoint covering family } \{A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i \in I}.$$

Proof. That K is a functor follows from the definition, so it remains to check that $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is given by the claimed relations.

To find generators and relations on $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ we will use the theory of Γ-spaces; for background on Γ-spaces, see for example [Seg74] or [BF78]. By Proposition 1.10(3), $\mathcal{W}(n(S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})^n$, and thus $X(\mathbf{n}) \simeq X((S^1)_1)^n$. The functor $X\colon \mathbf{n} \longmapsto |N\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{n} \wedge \mathcal{C})|$ is a special Γ-space, and thus by [Seg74, Section 4] $\pi_0 K(\mathcal{C})$ is the group completion of $\pi_0 X_1$ under the operation induced from the map $X(\mathbf{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1})$. This operation is disjoint union on indexing sets, so the connected components of X_1 are represented by formal sums of objects of $\mathcal{C}^\circ$. The relations between these are induced by morphisms of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$. A morphism $f\colon \{A_i\}_{i \in I} \longrightarrow \{B_j\}_{j \in J}$ can be written as $\coprod_{j \in J} \left(\{A_i\}_{i \in f^{-1}(j)} \longrightarrow \{B_j\}\right)$, which is a J-fold formal sum of the component morphisms. Each component is a finite disjoint covering family, and gives the relation

$$[B_j] = \sum_{i \in f^{-1}(j)} [A_i].$$

In addition, any finite disjoint covering family $\{A_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i \in I}$ gives a morphism $\{A_i\}_{i \in I} \longrightarrow \{A\}$. Thus the relations on $\pi_0(X)$ given by the morphisms exactly correspond to the finite disjoint covering families of objects in $\mathcal{C}^\circ$.

It remains to check that the group in the statement of the theorem gives the same group as the description of $\pi_0 K(C)$ above. The only difference between these two descriptions is the presence of the initial object. However, the initial object has an empty covering family (which is tautologically finite and disjoint), so by the relation in the statement of the theorem $[\varnothing] = 0$. Thus its presence in the description does not affect the group. □

We introduce the notion of a simplicial assembler and its K-theory.

Definition 1.12. A *simplicial assembler* is a functor $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Asm}$. A *morphism of simplicial assemblers* is a natural transformation of functors. We define the K-theory spectrum of a simplicial assembler $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$ by

$$K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot) = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} K(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

We write $s\mathbf{Asm}$ for the category of simplicial assemblers.

Remark 1.13. Recall that homotopy colimits of spectra can be computed levelwise. A diagram $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow s\mathbf{Sets}$ is a bisimplicial set; the diagonal of the simplicial set is a model for the homotopy colimit of the diagram. We can thus give an explicit model for $K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)$ in an analogous way to Definition 1.11 as follows.

For any simplicial set $X_\cdot$ and any simplicial assembler $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$ we define a simplicial assembler $X_\cdot \wedge \mathcal{C}_\cdot$ by $(X \wedge \mathcal{C})_n = X_n \wedge \mathcal{C}_n$. We define $K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_k = \left|\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{C}_\cdot)\right|$. The spectral structure maps are constructed analogously to those in Definition 1.11. In particular, if we consider an assembler to be a constant simplicial assembler, then $K(\mathcal{C})_k = \left|\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{C})\right|$.

2. Examples

In this section we examine several examples of assemblers and their K-theories.

2.1. **The Grothendieck ring of varieties.** Let k be a field. We define $\mathcal{V}_k$ to be the category whose objects are k-varieties (by which we mean reduced separated schemes of finite type over k), and whose morphisms are finite composites of open embeddings and closed embeddings. The topology on $\mathcal{V}_k$ is generated by the coverage $\{Y \longrightarrow X, X \smallsetminus Y \longrightarrow X\}$ for closed embeddings $Y \longrightarrow X$. (For background on coverages, see for example [Joh02].) Then $\mathcal{V}_k$ is an assembler. $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ is the abelian group underlying the Grothendieck ring of varieties.

Example 2.1. Let k be a finite field and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the assembler of finite sets. Let L be a finite algebraic extension of k. Then we have a morphism of assemblers $c_L \colon \mathcal{V}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by $c_L(X) = X(L)$. Thus point counting is an example of a morphism of assemblers, and taking K-theory gives us a "derived" notion of point counting: a map $K(\mathcal{V}_k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$. (The K-theory of $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the sphere spectrum by Theorem B for the inclusion $\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ taking $*$ to a singleton set.)

The spectrum $K(\mathcal{V}_k)$ is studied in detail in [ZakA]. Here, we advertize a couple of the results from that paper and briefly discuss a special case needed in its proof.

Let $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n)}$ be the full subassembler of $\mathcal{V}_k$ consisting of all varieties of dimension at most n. An application of theorem [ZakA, Theorem A] gives that

$$\operatorname{cofib}\left(K(\mathcal{V}_k^{(n-1)}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{V}_k^{(n)})\right) \simeq \bigvee_{[X] \in B_n} \Sigma^\infty_+ B\operatorname{Aut} k(X),$$

where B_n is the set of birational isomorphism classes of varieties of dimension n. The proof quickly reduces to analyzing the K-theory of subvarieties of a given variety X; we do that analysis here. The cofiber sequence gives us a spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{[X] \in B_n} \pi^s_p B\operatorname{Aut} k(X) \Longrightarrow K_p K(\mathcal{V}_k)$$

that leads to the proof of Theorem F in [ZakA].

Example 2.2. For a fixed irreducible variety X of dimension n, let $\mathcal{C}_X$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{V}_k$ with objects varieties Y of dimension n for which there exists a morphism $Y \longrightarrow X$; we also include the initial object. We define a family $\{Y_i \longrightarrow Y\}_{i \in I}$ to be a covering family if it contains a morphism from a noninitial object. Then $\mathcal{C}_X$ is an assembler. For a nonempty variety Y the only finite disjoint covering families are of the form $\{Y' \longrightarrow Y\}$ for a noninitial Y'; any morphism between noninitial objects gives a finite disjoint covering family.

In the following analysis, we will fix the model of $\mathcal{C}_X$: we think of the objects of $\mathcal{C}_X$ as subsets of $X(\overline{k})$; then for every Y in $\mathcal{C}_X$ there is a preferred morphism $\iota_Y \colon Y \longrightarrow X$ which is an inclusion of points. With the choice of this model we have chosen "coordinates" for all objects of $\mathcal{C}_X$ simultaneously; by Corollary 3.2 this choice does not affect the homotopy type of the K-theory of the assembler.

The analysis of the K-theory of $\mathcal{C}_X$ proceeds by comparison with the following example:

Example 2.3. Fix a discrete group G, and let $\mathbb{S}_G$ be the assembler with two objects, $\varnothing$ and $*$, one non-invertible morphism $\varnothing \longrightarrow *$ and with $\operatorname{Aut}(*) = G$. Then $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{S}_G)$ has as its objects the finite sets and its morphisms $I \longrightarrow J$ are isomorphisms $I \longrightarrow J$ together with an element g_i for all $i \in I$.

By the Barratt–Priddy–Quillen–Segal Theorem (see [Seg74]) $K(\mathbb{S}_G)$ is stably equivalent to $\Sigma^\infty_+ BG$. A homomorphism of groups $\varphi\colon H \longrightarrow G$ gives a morphism of assemblers $\mathbb{S}_H \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_G$, which we also denote by φ.

In the special case when G is the trivial group, $K(\mathbb{S}_G) \simeq \mathbb{S}$; we write $\mathbb{S}$ instead of $\mathbb{S}_1$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be an irreducible variety of dimension n, and let $\mathcal{C}_X$ be the assembler whose objects are subvarieties of X of dimension n, modeled as algebraic subsets of $X(\overline{k})$ defined over k.*

1. *$K(\mathcal{C}_X) \simeq \Sigma^\infty_+ B\mathrm{Aut}\, k(X)$.*
2. *For any variety X there is a morphism of assemblers $\mathcal{C}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^1}$ which takes a variety Y to $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and a morphism $f\colon Y \longrightarrow Y'$ to $f \times 1_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. Write $\mathrm{Aut}k(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ as $\mathrm{Aut}k(X)(t)$ for a transcendental t; let $\varphi\colon \mathrm{Aut}k(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}k(X)(t)$ be the homomorphism that incluses $\mathrm{Aut}k(X)$ as those automorphisms which fix t. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{Aut}\, k(X)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{Aut}\, k(X\times\mathbb{A}^1)} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. This proof relies on Theorem 3.6. For any variety Z we define the family

$$\mathcal{F}_Z = \{\iota_A\colon A \longrightarrow Z \mid A \in \mathcal{C}_Z\}.$$

Proof of (1): The assembler $\mathcal{C}_X$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.6, so we get a morphism of assemblers $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_X}\colon \mathcal{C}_X \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_G$ which induces an equivalence after applying K-theory. In this case G is the group $\mathrm{Aut}\, k(X)$, the birational automorphisms of X.
Proof of (2): With our defition of $\mathcal{F}_{X\times\mathbb{A}^1}$, for any subvariety Y of X we have $\iota_{Y\times\mathbb{A}^1} = \iota_Y \times 1_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. Thus if we define

$$\varphi\Big[\ X \overset{f}{\underset{g}{\rightrightarrows}} A\ \Big] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Big[\ X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \overset{f\times 1_{\mathbb{A}^1}}{\underset{g\times 1_{\mathbb{A}^1}}{\rightrightarrows}} A \times \mathbb{A}^1\ \Big]$$

the given diagram commutes. This φ is injective and hits all partial automorphisms of $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ which are defined "fiberwise" on some $U \times \mathbb{A}^1$, which gives the desired algebraic description. □

2.2. **Miscellaneous short examples.** We begin with a couple of trivial examples.

Example 2.5. Let $*$ be the assembler whose underlying category is trivial. This assembler has no noninitial objects, so $\mathcal{W}(*)$ is the trivial category, and thus $K(*) \cong *$.

Example 2.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the partial order of all open subsets of a topological space X, with the usual Grothendieck topology. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is an assembler. However, the only way that we can have a finite disjoint covering family $\{U_i \longrightarrow U\}_{i\in I}$ is if the U_i are disjoint connected components of U. Thus for any connected open subset U of X there are no finite disjoint covering families of U. In this case $K(\mathcal{C})$ is a wedge of spheres, one for each connected open subset of X, and is therefore completely uninteresting.

Here is an example relating assemblers to logic.

Example 2.7. For any small category $\mathcal{I}$ and any (large) assembler $\mathcal{C}$, let $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}]$ be the category of functors $\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and natural transformations between them. We define a topology on $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}]$ by saying that $\{F_\alpha \longrightarrow F\}_{\alpha\in A}$ is a covering family if for all objects X in $\mathcal{C}$, the family $\{F_\alpha(X) \longrightarrow F(X)\}_{\alpha\in A}$ is a covering family. Then $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}]$ is a (large) assembler.

As a special case, let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}_i$ be the large assembler of sets and injective functions, and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the category of models and elementary inclusions of a logical theory T. Since any subcategory of an assembler containing the initial object and satisfying (R) is also an assembler, we can conclude that the category of definable sets of a theory is also an assembler. K_0 of this assembler is the abelian group underlying the Grothendieck ring of definable sets.

Remark 2.8. Section 2.1 and Examples 2.3 and 2.7 mention Grothendieck rings but we have not yet introduced any structures on assemblers that can lead to ring structures on K_0. In fact, it turns out that the category of assemblers is a symmetric monoidal category and K is a symmetric monoidal functor, which allows us to construct E_∞ ring structures on the K-theory spectra of these assemblers. This will be discussed in more detail in future work.

Using the fact that $K(\mathbb{S}) \simeq \mathbb{S}$, we can construct homotopy types of all suspension spectra.

Example 2.9. For any pointed simplicial set $X_\cdot$, $X_\cdot \wedge \mathbb{S}$ is a simplicial assembler. By definition,

$$K(X_\cdot \wedge \mathbb{S}) \simeq \operatorname*{hocolim}_n K(X_n \wedge \mathbb{S}) \simeq \operatorname*{hocolim}_n \bigvee_{X_n \smallsetminus \{*\}} \mathbb{S} \simeq \Sigma^\infty X_\cdot.$$

Thus all homotopy types of suspension spectra are in the image of the functor $K\colon s\mathbf{Asm} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$.

The original theory of [Zak12, Zak13] led to the theory of assemblers; the following example shows that assemblers are a strict generalization of the ideas in those papers.

Example 2.10. The definition of a polytope complex can be found in [Zak12, Definition 3.1]. Given a polytope complex $\mathcal{C}$ we define an assembler $\mathcal{C}_a$ in the following manner. We set $\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{C}_a = \operatorname{ob}\mathcal{C}$, and a morphism $A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}_a$ is given by an object A' and a diagram

$$A \xleftarrow{\sigma} A' \xrightarrow{p} B \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The composite of $A \xleftarrow{\sigma} A' \xrightarrow{p} B$ and $B \xleftarrow{\tau} B' \xrightarrow{q} C$ is given by $A \xleftarrow{\sigma\tau'} \tau^* A' \xrightarrow{q\tau^* p} C$. A family $\{A_i \longleftarrow A_i' \dashrightarrow A\}_{i\in I}$ is a covering family in $\mathcal{C}_a$ exactly when $\{A_i' \dashrightarrow A\}_{i\in I}$ is a covering family in $\mathcal{C}$. These definitions make $\mathcal{C}_a$ into an assembler, and $K(\mathcal{C}_a) \simeq K(\mathcal{C})$.

The name "polytope complex" was inspired by the ideas of classical scissors congruence; the new theory of assemblers allows us to make new connections in those applications as well.

2.3. **Classical scissors congruence.** There are two standard approaches to scissors congruence for polytopes. The first focuses on one dimension at a time by saying that two n-polytopes are "interior disjoint" if their intersection contains no n-polytopes. We can then define scissors congruence of n-polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ in the following manner. An n-simplex is defined to be the convex hull of $n+1$ points in general position; an n-polytope is a finite union of n-simplices. We then say that two n-polytopes P and Q are scissors congruent if

- we can write $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ and $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i$ such that $P_i \cong Q_i$, and
- $P_i \cap P_j$ and $Q_i \cap Q_j$ contain no n-simplices for $i \neq j$.

This is the approach considered in the work of Dupont and Sah (see [Sah79], [DS82] and [Dup01]). We write the scissors congruence group of n-polytopes defined through this approach as $\mathcal{P}_n$.

An alternate approach considers all intersections of all dimensions. Here we want to be able to decompose polytopes into completely disjoint sets. The simplest way to write this down is to say that an n-simplex is the interior (in the n-space spanned by the points) of the convex hull of $n+1$ points, and that an n-polytope is a finite union of simplices $X_i, \ldots, X_k$, where $\dim X_i \leq n$ and for some i_0, $\dim X_{i_0} = n$. Thus for example, a closed interval is a 1-polytope because a point is a 0-simplex, an open interval is a 1-simplex, and a closed interval is the union of an open interval and two points. We then say that two polytopes P and Q are scissors congruent if

- we can write $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i$ and $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i$ such that $P_i \cong Q_i$, and

- $P_i \cap P_j = Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$.

This is an approach which is analogous to the scissors congruence of definable sets (considered in, for example, [Dri98]). When the only isometries allowed are translations these groups were analyzed by McMullen in [McM89]; the more general case was studied by Goodwillie in [Goo]. We denote the scissors congruence group of such polytopes by $\mathfrak{P}$.

As dimension is a scissors-congruence invariant, we have the following observation:

Observation 2.11. The group $\mathfrak{P}$ is filtered by dimension. More formally, if we define $\mathfrak{P}_n$ to be the scissors congruence group of m-polytopes with $m \leq n$ then we have a sequence of homomorphisms

$$0 = \mathfrak{P}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}.$$

We have a filtration on $\mathfrak{P}$ where the n-th filtered piece is the image of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ inside $\mathfrak{P}$.

However, it is unclear whether or not higher dimensional polytopes can induce relations between lower-dimensional ones, so we do not know whether $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is the n-th graded piece of $\mathfrak{P}$. We want to compute the associated graded of this filtration, and use it to learn about the structure of $\mathfrak{P}$.

First, we consider the analog of Dupont and Sah's approach. We define an assembler $\mathcal{G}_n$ whose objects are pairs (U, P) where P is an n-polytope in $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ and U is the smallest affine subspace containing P. A morphism $(U, P) \longrightarrow (V, Q)$ is an isometry $\varphi\colon U \longrightarrow V$ such that $\varphi(P) \subseteq Q$. The Grothendieck topology is defined by defining a family

$$\left\{\varphi_\alpha\colon (U_\alpha, P_\alpha) \longrightarrow (U, P)\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$$

to be a covering family if $P = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \varphi_\alpha(P_\alpha)$.

Now we construct the analog of McMullen and Goodwillie's approach. We define an assembler $\mathfrak{G}$ to have as objects pairs (U, P), where P is an n-polytope in $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ and $U = \operatorname{span} P$. Morphisms $(U, P) \longrightarrow (V, Q)$ of pairs consist of an isometry $\varphi\colon U \longrightarrow V$ such that $\varphi(P) \subseteq Q$. Note that these are almost the same definitions as in the Dupont and Sah approach; the difference is that here we take all n, and that our polytopes have a slightly different definition, in that they do not necessarily contain their boundaries. The Grothendieck topology is defined analogously to the Grothendieck topology on $\mathcal{G}_n$.

Remark 2.12. Both of these constructions can be done using only simplices, without considering general polytopes. By Theorem B this approach gives an equivalent K-theory. However, as the traditional approach uses polytopes, we do as well.

Morphisms in $\mathfrak{G}$ are defined in exactly the same way as the morphisms in $\mathcal{G}_n$, so that we have an inclusion functor $\mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$. From the definition of the topologies this is a continuous functor. However, this is not a morphism of assemblers as it does not preserve disjointness: given any two n-polytopes with a nonempty measure-0 intersection, their pullback (over their union) is $\varnothing$ in $\mathcal{G}_n$ but not in $\mathfrak{G}$.

Naïvely we might think that $\mathfrak{G}$ is a product of $\mathcal{G}_n$'s for different n, but the above observation about the functor $\mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ means that this is not the case. Even playing around with small values of n shows that something is different, as in $\mathfrak{G}$ we have an extra invariant on polytopes: the Euler characteristic. (For more on this, see [Dri98].)

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mathfrak{G}_m$ be the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{G}$ containing all pairs (U, P) where P is an i-polytope with $i \leq m$. This is a subassembler, and we get a sequence*

$$* = \mathfrak{G}_{-1} \xhookrightarrow{\iota_0} \mathfrak{G}_0 \xhookrightarrow{\iota_1} \mathfrak{G}_1 \xhookrightarrow{\iota_2} \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G},$$

where $*$ is the assembler containing only the initial object. We have

$$\operatorname{cofib} K(\iota_n) \simeq K(\mathcal{G}_n).$$

Thus $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} K(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is the associated graded of $K(\mathfrak{G})$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$ satisfies the condition of Theorem D we can conclude that $\operatorname{cofib} K(\iota_n) \simeq K(\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1})$. We construct a morphism of assemblers $F\colon \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$ which induces an equivalence on K-theory. Let $F'\colon \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_n$ take each pair (U,P) to the pair $(U,\mathring{P})$, where $\mathring{P}$ is the open interior of P. This functor preserves pullbacks but is not continuous. We claim that after mapping to $\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$ this functor induces an equivalence of K-theories.

Let F be the composite

$$\mathcal{G}_n \xrightarrow{F'} \mathfrak{G}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}.$$

As F' preserves disjointnessand the initial object and so does π, so does F. Thus to show that it is a valid morphism of assemblers it suffices to show that it preserves covering families. A covering family in $\mathcal{G}_n$ is a family $\{f_\alpha\colon P_\alpha \longrightarrow P\}_{\alpha\in A}$ such that $\bigcup_{\alpha\in A} f_\alpha(P_\alpha) = P$. For each α we can write $P_\alpha = \mathring{P}_\alpha \cup \partial P_\alpha$, where ∂P_α is the boundary of P_α. Note that $\partial P_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$. We then have a covering family

$$\{\underbrace{f_\alpha\colon \mathring{P}_\alpha \longrightarrow P}_{=F'(f_\alpha)}\}_{\alpha\in A} \cup \{f_\alpha\colon \underbrace{\partial P_\alpha}_{\in \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}} \longrightarrow P\}_{\alpha\in A}$$

in $\mathfrak{G}_n$. Thus by definition the first part of this is a covering family in $\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$, and we see that F preserves covering families.

Note that F includes $\mathcal{G}_n$ as a subassembler of $\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$. The objects of $\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$ which are hit by F are exactly those objects (U,P) such that $\overline{\mathring{P}} = P$. Any object (U,P) has a disjoint covering family

$$\{(\operatorname{span}(\partial P), \partial P) \longrightarrow (U,P), (\operatorname{span}\mathring{P}, \mathring{P}) \longrightarrow (U,P)\},$$

so the family $\{(\operatorname{span}\mathring{P}, \mathring{P}) \longrightarrow (U,P)\}$ is a covering family of (U,P) in $\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$. As $\overline{\mathring{X}} = X$ for all sets X, the second one of these is in the image of F and we see that $F(\mathcal{G}_n)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem B. Thus $K(\mathcal{G}_n) \simeq K(\mathfrak{G}_n \setminus \mathfrak{G}_{n-1})$. □

As a corollary we have:

Theorem 2.14. *There is a spectral sequence*

$$E^1_{p,q} = K_p(\mathcal{G}_q) \Longrightarrow K_p(\mathfrak{G}).$$

If we filter $\mathfrak{G}_n$ instead of $\mathfrak{G}$ we get the spectral sequence in Theorem E.

Proof. These are the spectral sequences associated to the filtered spectra

$$K(\mathfrak{G}_0) \longrightarrow K(\mathfrak{G}_1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K(\mathfrak{G})$$

and

$$K(\mathfrak{G}_0) \longrightarrow K(\mathfrak{G}_1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K(\mathfrak{G}_n).$$

□

3. Equivalences between assemblers

In this section we explore two types of morphisms between assemblers which produce equivalences on the level of K-theory. The two main results, Theorem B and Theorem 3.6 are useful for identifying assemblers that come up in various contexts. For a concrete application of these results, see Sections 2.1 and 2.3.

We begin with a result which is the basis of all of our assembler calculations. It is the "inductive step" which allows us to show that if we have an equivalence of K-theory spectra at level 0, then we must have an equivalence of K-theory spectra at all levels.

By an abuse of notation, for a k-simplicial category $\mathcal{E}_{\cdots}$ we will write $|\mathcal{E}_{\cdots}|$ for the simplicial set whose n-simplices are $N_n\mathcal{E}_{n\cdots n}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $p:\mathcal{C}_\cdot \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\cdot$ is a morphism of simplicial assemblers such that the induced map of simplicial sets*

$$\big|\mathcal{W}(p)\big|\colon \big|\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)\big| \longrightarrow \big|\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}_\cdot)\big|$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. Then $K(p)$ is an equivalence of spectra.

Proof. It suffices to show that $K(p)_k$ is an equivalence for all k. Thus we want to show that $\big|\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{C}_\cdot)\big| \longrightarrow \big|\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot)\big|$ is a weak equivalence. Let $S^k \bar{\wedge} \mathcal{C}_\cdot$ be the bisimplicial assembler whose (n,m)-th entry is $S^k_n \wedge \mathcal{C}_m$. Applying $\mathcal{W}$ pointwise and applying $|\cdot|$, we see that

$$\big|\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge \mathcal{C}_\cdot)\big| \cong \big|\mathcal{W}(S^k \bar{\wedge} \mathcal{C}_\cdot)\big|.$$

Thus it remains to show that p induces a weak equivalence

$$\big|\mathcal{W}(S^k \bar{\wedge} \mathcal{C}_\cdot)\big| \longrightarrow \big|\mathcal{W}(S^k \bar{\wedge} \mathcal{D}_\cdot)\big|.$$

It suffices to show that for all n, $\big|\mathcal{W}(S^k_n \wedge \mathcal{C}_\cdot)\big| \longrightarrow \big|\mathcal{W}(S^k_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot)\big|$ is a weak equivalence. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}(S^k_n \wedge \mathcal{C}_\cdot) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge p)} & \mathcal{W}(S^k_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)^{n^k} & \xrightarrow{p^{n^k}} & \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}_\cdot)^{n^k} \end{array}$$

The vertical morphisms are level equivalences of simplicial categories by Proposition 1.10(3), and the bottom morphism is an equivalence after applying $|\cdot|$, as it is just an n^k-fold product of p with itself. By two-of-three we can therefore conclude that $\mathcal{W}(S^k \wedge p)$ is a weak equivalence after geometric realization. □

Corollary 3.2. *If $F:\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a morphism of assemblers which is an equivalence on the underlying categories then $K(F)$ is a weak equivalence of spectra.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to check that $N\mathcal{W}(F)$ is a weak equivalence. However, since F is an equivalence of categories it is straightforward that $\mathcal{W}(F)$ is, as well; since equivalences of categories are homotopy equivalences on nerves, we are done. □

We use Quillen's Theorem A to show that morphisms become equivalences after applying $|\cdot|$.

Quillen's Theorem A. *Suppose that $F:\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor between small categories such that $N(F/Y)$ is contractible for all objects Y in $\mathcal{D}$. Then $NF:N\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow N\mathcal{D}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

This theorem has the following corollary:

Lemma 3.3. *We say a preorder $\mathcal{C}$ is cofiltered if for any two objects A, B in $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a diagram*

$$A \longleftarrow X \longrightarrow B$$

in $\mathcal{C}$. Any cofiltered preorder is contractible.

This lemma is the dual of the specialization to preorders of [Qui73, Corollary 2, Section 1].

3.1. **Restriction to subcomplexes.** Recall that a subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ of an assembler $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *subassembler* if it is an assembler and the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a morphism of assemblers. In this section we will prove Theorem B.

Theorem B. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an assembler and $\mathcal{D}$ a subassembler. If for every object A there exists a finite disjoint covering family $\{D_i \longrightarrow A\}_{i\in I}$ such that D_i is in $\mathcal{D}$ for all $i \in I$ then the induced map $K(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence of spectra.*

Proof. We begin with a couple of observations. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$. In addition, for every object A in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists an object B in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$ and a morphism $B \longrightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$. To see this, write $A = \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$, and choose finite disjoint covering families $\{B_j^{(i)} \longrightarrow A_i\}_{j\in J_i}$ with $B_j^{(i)}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ for all $i \in I$ and $j \in J_i$. Then setting $B = \{B_j^{(i)}\}_{(i,j)\in\coprod_{i\in I} J_i}$ gives us the desired object, and the covering families define the morphism $B \longrightarrow A$.

Note, also, that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is cofiltered, since by Proposition 1.10(2) for any two morphisms $A \longrightarrow Y$ and $B \longrightarrow Y$ there exists a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$.

By Lemma 3.1 to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $i\colon \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence after geometric realization. By Quillen's Theorem A it suffices to show that the category i/Y is contractible for all Y in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$. As $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})/Y$ is a preorder and $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$, i/Y is also a preorder. Thus by Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show that it is cofiltered. To find an object above $A \longrightarrow Y$ and $B \longrightarrow Y$ in i/Y we complete

$$A \longrightarrow Y \longleftarrow B$$

to a square in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ with apex C, and then choose a morphism $C' \longrightarrow C$ with C' in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$. As $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$ is a full subcategory, the morphisms $C' \longrightarrow A$ and $C' \longrightarrow B$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$, and therefore i/Y is cofiltered. □

As levelwise weak equivalences in simplicial spectra map to weak equivalences under realization, we immediately have the following:

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{D}_\cdot \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\cdot$ be a morphism of simplicial assemblers such that for each n, $\mathcal{D}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ is an inclusion of a subassembler with sufficiently many covers. Then the map $K(\mathcal{D}_\cdot) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)$ is a weak equivalence of spectra.*

3.2. **Epimorphic assemblers with sinks.** Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is an assembler that satisfies three extra conditions:

- (S) $\mathcal{C}$ contains an object S such that for all other objects A, $\mathcal{C}(A, S) \neq \emptyset$.
- (Ep) All morphisms with noninitial domain in $\mathcal{C}$ are epimorphisms, and all families $\{A \longrightarrow B\}$ with $A \neq \varnothing$ are covering families.
- (D) If $A, B \neq \varnothing$ no two morphisms $A \longrightarrow C$ and $B \longrightarrow C$ are disjoint.

Morally speaking, in this case $\mathcal{C}$ is trying to be the assembler $\mathbb{S}_G$, which has a single object S with a group of automorphisms acting on it. However, in this case the group is a set of "automorphisms defined on a dense subset."

More precisely, let

$$G = \left\{ S \overset{\frown}{\leftarrow} A \;\middle|\; A \neq \varnothing \right\} / \sim$$

where $\left[\ S \underset{f_2}{\overset{f_1}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{g_2}{\overset{g_1}{\leftleftarrows}} B\ \right]$ if there exists a commutative diagram



The multiplication on G of $\left[\ S \underset{f_2}{\overset{f_1}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right]$ and $\left[\ S \underset{g_2}{\overset{g_1}{\leftleftarrows}} B\ \right]$ is represented by the composition down the left and the right in the diagram



where the middle square is any completion of f_2 and g_1 to a commutative square where X is noninitial (which exists by axiom (D)). To see that this is well-defined, suppose that we have two different completions:



Let Z be noninitial with morphisms $\alpha\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ and $\beta\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ which complete f_2' and f_2'' to a commutative square. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \xrightarrow{g_1'} A \xrightarrow{f_2} S &= Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \xrightarrow{f_2'} B \xrightarrow{g_1} S \\ &= Z \xrightarrow{\beta} Y \xrightarrow{f_2''} B \xrightarrow{g_1} S \\ &= Z \xrightarrow{\beta} Y \xrightarrow{g_1''} A \xrightarrow{f_2} S \end{aligned}$$

As all morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are monic, this means that α and β also complete g_1' and g_1'' to a commutative square, so we have a diagram



and thus the two different representatives represent the same class and G is well-defined. The identity in G is represented by two copies of the identity morphism 1_S; the inverse of $\left[\ S \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightleftarrows}} A\ \right]$ is $\left[\ S \underset{f}{\overset{g}{\rightleftarrows}} A\ \right]$.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a choice of a morphism $f_A\colon A \longrightarrow S$ for each object A in $\mathcal{C}$, with the assumption that $f_S = 1_S$. Then we can define a morphism of assemblers $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_G$ by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = * \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(g\colon A \longrightarrow B) = \left[\ S \underset{f_B g}{\overset{f_A}{\rightleftarrows}} A\ \right].$$

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies conditions (S), (Ep) and (D), and let G be as defined above. Then for every choice of $\mathcal{F}$ the morphism of assemblers $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_G$ induces an equivalence on K-theory.*

Proof. Let $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{F}}$. The functor π is continuous by definition. Thus to see that this is a valid morphism of assemblers we need to check that it preserves disjointness, or, equivalently, that no two morphisms between noninitial objects in $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ are disjoint. This is true by property (D).

We now need to check that this induces an equivalence on K-theory. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to show that the map $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{S}_G)$ induces a homotopy equivalence on geometric realization.

We us Quillen's Theorem A. We need to show that for all Y in $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{S}_G)$, $\mathcal{W}(\pi)/Y$ is contractible. But $Y = \{*\}_{i\in I}$ and $\mathcal{W}(\pi)/Y \simeq (\mathcal{W}(\pi)/\{*\})^{|I|}$, so it suffices to show that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{W}(\pi)/\{*\}$ is contractible. An object of $\mathcal{D}$ is a pair (A, g) with A in $\mathcal{C}$ and $g \in G$. We claim that $\mathcal{D}$ is a preorder. Indeed, suppose that we have two objects (A, g) and (B, h). A morphism $\{A\}_{\{*\}} \longrightarrow \{B\}_{\{*\}}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is a morphism $f\colon A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$; thus a morphism $(A, g) \longrightarrow (B, h)$ is an f in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\pi(f) = h^{-1}g$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}$ is a preorder exactly when π is faithful. To show that π is faithful we need to show that if $r, s \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ are such that there exists a diagram



then $r = s$. As f_A is monic, it follows that $h_1 = h_2$. As h_1 is epic, it follows that $r = s$, so we see that π is faithful.

As $\mathcal{D}$ is a preorder, to show that it is contractible by Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show that it is cofiltered. Let (A, g) and (B, h) be two objects in $\mathcal{D}$. An object (C, k) above them is a pair of morphisms $f\colon C \longrightarrow A$ and $f'\colon C \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$, such that $\pi(f) = gk^{-1}$ and $\pi(f') = hk^{-1}$; thus we want to find an object C in $\mathcal{C}$ and a pair of morphisms $f\colon C \longrightarrow A$ and $f'\colon C \longrightarrow B$ such that $g^{-1}\pi(f) = h^{-1}\pi(f')$.

Pick a representative $S \underset{g_2}{\overset{g_1}{\rightleftarrows}} X$ for g and a representative $S \underset{h_2}{\overset{h_1}{\rightleftarrows}} Y$ for h. Pick commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g_2'} & A \\ {\scriptstyle f_A'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_A} \\ X & \xrightarrow{g_2} & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{h_2'} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f_B'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_B} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h_2} & S \end{array}$$

with noninitial X' and Y'; these exist because no two morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are disjoint. Let Z be any completion of

$$X' \xrightarrow{f_A'} X \xrightarrow{g_1} S \xleftarrow{h_1} Y \xleftarrow{f_B'} Y'$$

to a square, with morphisms $\alpha\colon Z \longrightarrow X'$ and $\beta\colon Z \longrightarrow Y'$. Define f and f' by

$$Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} X' \xrightarrow{g_2'} A \qquad f'\colon Z \xrightarrow{\beta} Y' \xrightarrow{h_2'} B.$$

We want to show that f and f' satisfy the desired conditions. We have the following diagram, where the starred square may not commute:



Then $g = \left[\ S \underset{g_2}{\overset{g_1}{\circlearrowleft}} X\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{g_2 f_A' \alpha}{\overset{g_1 f_A' \alpha}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{f_A g_2' \alpha}{\overset{g_1 f_A' \alpha}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{f_A f}{\overset{g_1 f_A' \alpha}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right]$, and thus

$$g^{-1}\pi(f) = \left[\ S \underset{g_1 f_A' \alpha}{\overset{f_A f}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right]\left[\ S \underset{f_A f}{\overset{f_Z}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{g_1 f_A' \alpha}{\overset{f_Z}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right].$$

Analogously,

$$h^{-1}\pi(f') = \left[\ S \underset{h_1 f_B' \beta}{\overset{f_Z}{\circlearrowleft}} Z\ \right].$$

But since the outside of the diagram commutes, $h_1 f_B' \beta = g_1 f_A' \alpha$, and $g^{-1}\pi(f) = h^{-1}\pi(g')$. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is cofiltered and by Quillen's Theorem A we have that $|\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{S}_G)|$ is a weak equivalence. □

One important thing to observe is that while $K(\pi_{\mathcal{F}})$ is an equivalence this equivalence is not canonical: we get a different equivalence for each choice of morphisms to the sink. We cannot always find a relation between two different choices of $\mathcal{F}$, but in nice geometric cases we can:

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are two choices of families as in Definition 3.5, and let $\psi\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an automorphism such that $\psi(S) = S$. If there exists a family of isomorphisms $\{\varphi_A\colon A \longrightarrow \psi(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ such that for all $A \neq S$ the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & \psi(A) \\ & {\scriptstyle f'_A}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_{\psi(A)}} \\ & & S \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & \psi(A) \\ {\scriptstyle f_A}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_{\psi(A)}} \\ S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_S} & S \end{array}$$

commute, then

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}'} = \Phi\pi_{\mathcal{F}},$$

where $\Phi\colon \mathbb{S}_G \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_G$ is the morphism of assemblers induced by conjugation by $\left[\ S \underset{\varphi_S}{\overset{1}{\leftleftarrows}} S\ \right]$ in G.

Proof. The desired formula holds tautologically on objects, so we simply need to check that it holds on morphisms. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{F}'}(g\colon A \longrightarrow B) &= \left[\ S \underset{f'_B g}{\overset{f'_A}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{f_{\psi(B)}\varphi_B g}{\overset{f_{\psi(A)}\varphi_A}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right] = \left[\ S \underset{\varphi_S f_B g}{\overset{\varphi_S f_A}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right] \\ &= \left[\ S \underset{1}{\overset{\varphi_S}{\leftleftarrows}} S\ \right]\left[\ S \underset{f_B g}{\overset{f_A}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right]\left[\ S \underset{\varphi_S}{\overset{1}{\leftleftarrows}} S\ \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an epimorphic assembler with sinks and let U be a noninitial object of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_U$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ of those objects A such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A,U) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of morphisms to the sink S of $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a family of morphisms to U in $\mathcal{C}_U$ which satisfies $f_A = f_U f'_A$ for all A in $\mathcal{C}_U$. If we let G and G' be the groups associated to $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_U$, respectively, by Theorem 3.6 then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi\colon G \longrightarrow G'$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_U & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathcal{F}'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathcal{F}}} \\ \mathbb{S}_{G'} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{S}_G \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. We define

$$\varphi\Big[\ U \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \Big] = \left[\ S \underset{f_U g}{\overset{f_U f}{\leftleftarrows}} A\ \right].$$

The fact that the diagram commutes follows directly from the definitions. To check that this is an isomorphism, note that it is injective because $\mathcal{C}_U$ contains all objects above U, and it is surjective because any representing pair can be modified to factor through f_U. □

4. The cofiber theorem

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem C.

Definition 4.1. Suppose that we are given a morphism of simplicial assemblers $g: \mathcal{D}_\cdot \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\cdot$. We define the simplicial assembler $(\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$ by

$$(\mathcal{C}/g)_n = \mathcal{C}_n \vee ((S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n).$$

As $\vee$ is the coproduct in **Asm**, in order to define the face and degeneracy maps it suffices to construct them on each component separately. On $\mathcal{C}_n$, the face and degeneracy maps are induced by the simplicial structure on $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$; on $(S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n$ all structure maps other than d_0 are induced by the simplicial structure maps of S^1 and $\mathcal{D}_\cdot$. We define d_0 to be the composite

$$\begin{aligned}(S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n &\xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbf{1} \vee (S^1)_{n-1}) \wedge \mathcal{D}_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}_n \vee ((S^1)_{n-1} \wedge \mathcal{D}_n) \\ &\xrightarrow{gd_0 \vee (1 \wedge d_0)} \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \vee ((S^1)_{n-1} \wedge \mathcal{D}_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

The simplicial assembler $(\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$ comes with a natural inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{C}_\cdot \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$ and a natural projection $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}: (\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot \longrightarrow S^1 \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot$. Theorem C states that

$$K(\mathcal{D}_\cdot) \xrightarrow{K(g)} K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot) \xrightarrow{K(\iota)} K((\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot)$$

is a cofiber sequence.

We prove this using an approach analogous to the one used by Waldhausen for [Wal85, Propositions 1.5.5, 1.5.6]. However, as the structure of our spectra is much simpler than his, the proof turns out to be much more basic. The key point is the additivity theorem: all we need is the two-term case of Proposition 1.10(3) to show that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})^2$.

Most of the work of the proof is contained in the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *For all $k \geq 1$, the sequence*

$$K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_k \longrightarrow K((\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot)_k \longrightarrow K(S^1 \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot)_k \tag{4.3}$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence.

Proof. Rewriting this, we need to show that the geometric realization of the sequence of bisimplicial categories (where k is fixed and the bisimplicial structure works by varying m and n)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}((S^{k-1})_n \wedge (S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{C}_n) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}((S^{k-1}_n) \wedge ((S^1)_m) \wedge (\mathcal{C}_n \vee ((S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n))) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}((S^{k-1})_n \wedge (S^1)_m \wedge ((S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n))\end{aligned}$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence. By generalizing [Wal78, Lemma 5.2] to trisimplicial sets, it suffices to show that:

(1) the composition is constant,
(2) for all n and all pointed sets X, $\left|[m] \longmapsto \mathcal{W}(X \wedge (S^1)_m \wedge (S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n)\right|$ is connected, and
(3) for all n and all pointed sets X,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|[m] \longmapsto \mathcal{W}(X \wedge (S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{C}_n)\right| \\ &\quad\longrightarrow \left|[m] \longmapsto \mathcal{W}(X \wedge (S^1)_m \wedge (\mathcal{C}_n \vee ((S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n)))\right| \\ &\quad\longrightarrow \left|[m] \longmapsto \mathcal{W}(X \wedge (S^1)_m \wedge (S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n)\right|\end{aligned}$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence.

In (2) and (3), we really only care about $X = (S^{k-1})_n$. (1) is clear because the map of simplicial assemblers composes to a constant map. (2) follows because the 0-simplices arise from the trivial category. To see (3), we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{C}') & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}(\iota)} & \mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge (\mathcal{C}' \vee \mathcal{D}')) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}(\pi_{\mathcal{D}})} & \mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{D}') \\
\| & & \downarrow \simeq & & \| \\
\mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{C}') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{C}') \times \mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{D}') & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathcal{W}((S^1)_m \wedge \mathcal{D}')
\end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{C}' = X \wedge \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}' = X \wedge (S^1)_n \wedge \mathcal{D}_n$. The middle vertical map takes an object $\{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ to the pair

$$\Big(\{A_i\}_{i\in\{i\in I \mid A_i\in(S^1)_m\wedge\mathcal{C}'\}}, \{A_i\}_{i\in\{i\in I \mid A_i\in(S^1)_m\wedge\mathcal{D}'\}}\Big).$$

This diagram commutes, and the bottom row is a fiber sequence. In addition, the middle morphism is an equivalence of categories, which means in particular that it is a homotopy equivalence of classifying spaces. The morphisms in the diagram also commute with the simplicial structure maps, and thus assemble into a diagram of simplicial categories. Note that it is very important in this context that we are fixing n, as if n varies then the middle morphism does not commute with d_0. Upon taking geometric realization, the middle map thus turns into a weak equivalence of simplicial spaces. As the bottom row stays a fiber sequence even after geometric realization, the top row is a homotopy fiber sequence. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem C:

Proof of Theorem C. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{D}_\cdot & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (\mathcal{D}/1)_\cdot & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{D}}} & S^1 \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot \\
{\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \| \\
\mathcal{C}_\cdot & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{D}}} & S^1 \wedge \mathcal{D}_\cdot
\end{array}$$

After applying K, the top and bottom are levelwise homotopy fiber sequences by Lemma 4.2, and thus homotopy fiber sequences of spectra. The right-hand map is the identity, so the left-hand square is a homotopy pullback square. $K((\mathcal{D}/1)_\cdot)$ is obtained from a simplicial shift of $K(S^1 \wedge \mathcal{D})$, so it is contractible. Thus the composition around the bottom is a homotopy fiber sequence. □

Remark 4.4. Although the assumption that $k \geq 1$ seems to be relatively minor, it turns out to be vital: at level 0 the sequence does not have to be a homotopy fiber sequence. It is also interesting to notice that the proof made no mention of g at all. However, g appears as d_0 in the simplicial structure maps of $(\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$, and thus plays a fundamental role in the definition of $(\mathcal{C}/g)_\cdot$. In the commutative diagrams above its action is disguised: in the left-hand square the morphisms on the $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$-component are the identity so are unseen by the square, while in the right-hand square the projections to the $\mathcal{D}_\cdot$ component make it immaterial. Thus although the object we are analyzing depends in a fundamental way on g, the proof above does not.

This has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.5. *$K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)$ is an Ω-spectrum above level 0.*

Proof. Let $*$ be the assembler with no non-initial objects. Consider the functor $p\colon \mathcal{C}_\cdot \longrightarrow *$ which sends all objects of every level of $\mathcal{C}_\cdot$ to the initial object. Then $(*/p)_\cdot$ has as its k-th level $(S^1)_k \wedge \mathcal{C}_k$ and so $K((*/p)_\cdot)_k \cong K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_{k+1}$. Therefore by Lemma 4.2 we get a homotopy fiber sequence $K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_k \longrightarrow * \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_{k+1}$. Thus we get an equivalence $K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_k \simeq \Omega K(\mathcal{C}_\cdot)_{k+1}$. □

5. Proof of Theorem D

Note that there is a morphism of simplicial assemblers $p\colon (\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D}$ given by projecting all copies of $\mathcal{D}$ in $(\mathcal{C}/i)_n$ to the initial object, and using the canonical morphism $c\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D}$. Consider the following commutative diagram of simplicial assemblers:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & (\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot \\ & & & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{C} & & \\ & & & \searrow^{c} & \\ & & & & \mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

After applying K-theory, by Theorem C the composition along the top becomes a cofiber sequence. Thus it suffices to show that p induces an equivalence of spectra to ensure that the composition along the bottom is also a cofiber sequence. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to show that $\mathcal{W}(p)\colon \mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D})$ is an equivalence after geometric realization, which is the statement of the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem D hold, and let $i\colon \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the inclusion of $\mathcal{D}$ into $\mathcal{C}$. Then*

$$\big|\mathcal{W}(p)\big|\colon \big|\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot)\big| \longrightarrow \big|\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D})\big|$$

is a weak equivalence.

The rest of this section is dedicated to the proof of this proposition. As the proof is quite long, we start with the setup and an outline of the proof, and finish the section with a series of lemmas that fill in the details.

We want to show that the map of bisimplicial sets

$$P\colon N\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot) \longrightarrow N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D})$$

is a weak equivalence. We assume that the nerve-direction is horizontal, and the internal $(\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot$-direction is vertical. Thus $N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D})$ is constant in the vertical direction. For conciseness we write $\mathcal{W}(c) = \kappa$.

First, a bit of notation. For any subcategory $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and any object $A = \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ we define

$$A_{\mathcal{C}'} = \{A_i\}_{\{i\in I \,|\, A_i \in \mathcal{C}'\}}.$$

In particular, for any object A in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$, $\kappa(A) = A_{\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D}}$. More generally, for any diagram

$$D = (A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} A_n) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$$

we write

$$D_{\mathcal{C}'} = (A'_0 \longrightarrow A'_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A'_n) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $A'_n = (A_n)_{\mathcal{C}'}$ and A'_i is the subobject of A_i whose image is in A'_n. More formally, if we write $A_i = \{A_{ij}\}_{j\in J_i}$ then we define $A'_i = \{A_{ij}\}_{j\in J'_i}$, with $J'_n = \{j \in J_n \,|\, , A_{nj} \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ and $J'_i = f_i^{-1}(J'_{i+1})$.

We define

$$Y_n = \big\{(A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n) \in N_n\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \,\big|\, A_n \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D})\big\}.$$

We want to make $Y_\cdot$ into a simplicial set. We define d_n on an n-simplex

$$D = (A_0 \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n)$$

to be $(A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_{n-1})_{\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D}}$; we let the other face maps and all degeneracies be inherited from $N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$. This is a well-defined simplicial set because $\mathcal{D}$ is a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$.

Define the category $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ to have $\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \operatorname{ob}\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \diagdown \mathcal{D})$. Define a morphism

$$f\colon \{A_i\}_{i\in I} \longrightarrow \{B_j\}_{j\in J} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

to be a map of sets $f\colon I \longrightarrow J$ together with morphisms $f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B_{f(i)}$ for $i \in I$ such that $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B_j\}_{i\in f^{-1}(j)}$ can be completed to a finite disjoint covering family in $\mathcal{C}$ by morphisms with domains in $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D})$ with inclusion functor

$$\iota\colon \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}).$$

Example 5.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the assembler whose objects are open, half-open and closed segments in $\mathbb{R}$, together with the points of $\mathbb{R}$, and whose morphisms are compositions of translations and inclusions. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the subassembler containing only points. Then $\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}$ is all segments, and the covering families in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D})$ are families $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B\}$ such that $f_i(A_i)\cap f_j(A_j)$ is a finite set. On the other hand, morphisms of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ consist of those covering families whose endpoints do not intersect at all. Even though these categories are different, they are homotopy equivalent.

Example 5.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is the following preorder



with the only nontrivial covering family being $\{B \longrightarrow D, C \longrightarrow D\}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is the full subcategory with $\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{D} = \{\varnothing, A\}$. Then $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D})$ has objects triples (b,c,d) and there exist morphisms $(b,c,d) \longrightarrow (b-k, c-k, d+k)$ for all $k \le \min(b,c)$; however, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a groupoid and does not have any morphisms when $k \neq 0$. In this case, ι is not a homotopy equivalence.

We can factor P as

$$N\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i).) \xrightarrow{p_1} Y_\cdot \xrightarrow{p_2} N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{N\iota} N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}),$$

where

$$p_1(A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_m) = (A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_m)_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}, \text{ and}$$
$$p_2(A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_m} A_m) = \kappa(A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_m} A_m).$$

Thus it suffices to show that p_1, p_2 and ι are weak equivalences after geometric realization; this is shown in Sections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, respectively.

Theorem D has two conditions: that $\mathcal{D}$ is a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$ and that $\mathcal{C}$ has all complements for all objects in $\mathcal{D}$. It turns out that the second condition is only used to prove that $N\iota$ is a homotopy equivalence, so we see that the map

$$|\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i).)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})|$$

is always a homotopy equivalence when $\mathcal{D}$ is a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$.

5.1. **The map p_1.** First we consider p_1. We begin with some technical results.

Lemma 5.4. *Write*

$$C = (A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}),$$
$$D = (B_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow B_n) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}),$$

and assume that for all i, the indexing sets of A_i and B_i are disjoint. We write $C \cup D$ for the diagram

$$A_0 \cup B_0 \longrightarrow A_1 \cup B_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n \cup B_n,$$

where we use $\cup$ instead of $\sqcup$ to emphasize that we only need to take simple unions of the indexing sets to form the coproduct. Then we have

$$C = C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} \cup C_{\mathcal{D}} \qquad (C \cup D)_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} \qquad (C \cup D)_{\mathcal{D}} = C_{\mathcal{D}} \cup D.$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions. The reason we need the assumption on indexing sets is that if the indexing sets are not disjoint then we may end up taking different versions of the disjoint union on the two sides of the third equality. □

Since $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}^{\vee k})$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})^k$ consisting of those tuples with disjoint indexing sets, we can consider a diagram $A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_m$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}^{\vee k})$ as a $k+1$-tuple of diagrams $(C, D_1, \ldots, D_k)$ with C in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ and D_i in $W(\mathcal{D})$ for all i. Note that, by definition, for each $j = 0, \ldots, k$ the j-th objects in all diagrams have disjoint indexing sets. In the subsequent proofs we often be using this identification.

Lemma 5.5. *p_1 is a bisimplicial.*

Proof. The fact that p_1 commutes with the horizontal simplicial structure maps follows directly from the definitions. It remains to check the vertical simplicial direction. As all vertical simplicial structure maps on $Y_\cdot$ are trivial, we just need to check that applying vertical simplicial structure maps does not affect the image under p_1. Consider $A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_m$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}^{\vee k})$ as a $k+1$-tuple of diagrams $(C, D_1, \ldots, D_k)$ By Lemma 5.4 $(C, D_1, \ldots, D_k)_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}$, so we can conclude that p_1 commutes with all of the vertical simplicial structure maps other than d_0. But (again by Lemma 5.4)

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 d_0(C, D_1, \ldots, D_k) &= p_1(C \cup D_1, \ldots, D_k) = (C \cup D_1)_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} \\ &= C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = p_1(C, D_1, \ldots, D_k), \end{aligned}$$

so p_1 commutes with d_0 as well. □

We are now ready to prove that p_1 is an equivalence after geometric realization. We do this by showing that it is a levelwise homotopy equivalence.

Lemma 5.6. *For all $n \geq 0$, the simplicial set $X_\cdot = N_n\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i)_\cdot)$ is homotopically discrete, and $\pi_0 X_\cdot = Y_n$. When restricted to $X_\cdot$ we have $p_1 = \pi_0$.*

Proof. Write $X_{-1} = Y_n$. We prove that $X_\cdot$ contracts onto Y_n by constructing an augmentation $d_0 \colon X_0 \longrightarrow X_{-1}$ and then constructing an extra degeneracy $s_{-1} \colon X_m \longrightarrow X_{m+1}$ for $m \geq -1$.

We define

$$d_0(A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n) = (A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n)_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}.$$

To check that this is an augmentation we need to check that $d_0 d_0 = d_0 d_1$. In other words, we need to show that for a pair of diagrams (C, D) in $N_n\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}) \times N_n\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$,

$$C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = (C \cup D)_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}},$$

which is exactly the conclusion of Lemma 5.4.

We define the map $s_{-1} \colon Y_n \longrightarrow X_0$ to be the inclusion, as $X_{-1} \subseteq X_0$. For $n \geq 0$, we define the map $s_{-1} \colon X_m \longrightarrow X_{m+1}$ in the following manner. Consider a diagram $D = (A_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n)$ in $\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i)_m)$. Write this diagram as an $m+1$-tuple of diagrams $(C, D_1, \ldots, D_m)$ and define

$$s_{-1}(D) = (C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}, C_{\mathcal{D}}, D_1, \ldots, D_m).$$

As the indexing sets of $C_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}$ and $C_{\mathcal{D}}$ are disjoint, we see that this is still canonically an object of $\mathcal{W}((\mathcal{C}/i)_{m+1})$, and thus s_{-1} is a well-defined map $X_m \longrightarrow X_{m+1}$. Checking that s_{-1} satisfies the conditions to be an extra degeneracy is a straightforward application of Lemma 5.4. The last part of the lemma follows from the definition of the augmentation map. □

5.2. **The map p_2.** We now move on to p_2. We begin with several technical results. When the proofs are straightforward from definitions we omit them. Recall that we write $\kappa = \mathcal{W}(c)$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a closed assembler. Suppose that we are given two morphisms $f\colon A \longrightarrow C$ and $g\colon B \longrightarrow C$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$, such that there exists $h\colon \kappa(A) \longrightarrow \kappa(B)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa(A) & \xrightarrow{\kappa(g)} & \kappa(C) \\ & {\scriptstyle h}\searrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle \kappa(f)} & \\ & \kappa(B) & \end{array}$$

commute. Then the image under κ of the pullback of $A \xrightarrow{f} C \xleftarrow{g} B$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa(A) & \xrightarrow{h} & \kappa(B) \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa(g)} \\ \kappa(A) & \xrightarrow{\kappa(f)} & \kappa(C) \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.8. *If $f\colon A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ has $B_{\mathcal{D}} = \{\}$ and $\kappa(f) = 1_B$ then $f = 1_B$.*

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that we have a square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{A_i\}_{i\in I} & \xrightarrow{f} & \{B_j\}_{j\in J} \\ {\scriptstyle f'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \{C_k\}_{k\in K} & \xrightarrow{g'} & \{D_l\}_{l\in L} \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\kappa(g) = \kappa(g')$. Then $f_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = f'_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{J} = \{j \in J \mid B_j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}\}$, and define $\widetilde{K}$ analogously. As $\kappa(g) = \kappa(g')$ it follows that $\widetilde{J} = \widetilde{K}$. We first show that $f^{-1}(\widetilde{J}) = (f')^{-1}(\widetilde{K})$. Suppose that $i \in I$ is such that $f(i) \in \widetilde{J}$. Then we have the following square in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & B_{f(i)} \\ {\scriptstyle f'_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{f(i)}} \\ C_{f'(i)} & \xrightarrow{g'_{f'(i)}} & D_{gf(i)} \end{array}$$

Thus the morphisms $g_{f(i)}$ and $g'_{f'(i)}$ are not disjoint; since both appear in $\kappa(g)$ we must have $f(i) = f'(i)$, and thus $f'(i)$ is in $\widetilde{K}$. Thus $f^{-1}(\widetilde{J}) \subseteq (f')^{-1}(\widetilde{K})$, and by symmetry these sets are equal. But we also know that

$$g_{f(i)} = g'_{f(i)} = g'_{f'(i)};$$

since all morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are monic, we can conclude that $f_i = f'_i$. Thus f and f' are equal when restricted to $\{A_i\}_{i\in f^{-1}(\widetilde{J})}$, which implies that $f_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = f'_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}}$. □

Lemma 5.10. *Let $X_\cdot$ be a simplicial set. Suppose that for every m-cycle $\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i x_i$ with $x_i \in X_m$ we can find simplices $\widetilde{x}_i \in X_{m+1}$ such that $d_0\widetilde{x}_i = x_i$ and*

$$d_j x_i = d_{j'} x_{i'} \Longrightarrow d_{j+1}\widetilde{x}_i = d_{j'+1}\widetilde{x}_{i'}.$$

Then $H_m X_\cdot = 0$.

Proof. Let $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \widetilde{x}_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\beta = \partial \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \widetilde{x}_i &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (-1)^j c_i d_j \widetilde{x}_i + c_i d_0 \widetilde{x}_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (-1)^j d_j \widetilde{x}_j + \epsilon = \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

The last step follows because the construction of $\widetilde{x}_i$ ensures that the terms in the sum cancel the same way that they did for ϵ. □

To show that p_2 is a weak equivalence, we use the simplicial version of Quillen's Theorem A (see [Wal85, Proposition 1.4.A]), which states that the following lemma implies that p_2 is a homotopy equivalence.

Lemma 5.11. *Let* $\alpha\colon \Delta^n \longrightarrow N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$ *be any* n*-simplex of* $N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$*. Define* $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ *to be the pullback of the diagram*

$$\Delta^n \xrightarrow{\alpha} N\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xleftarrow{p_2} Y_\cdot.$$

Then $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ *is contractible for all* n *and* α*.*

Proof. We prove this by showing three things:

(a) $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ is connected,
(b) $\pi_1(p_2/(n, \alpha)) = 0$, and
(c) for all $i > 0$, $H_i(p_2/(n, \alpha)) = 0$.

Together, these imply that all homotopy groups of $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ are trivial, showing that it is contractible. Write

$$\alpha = A_0 \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n \in N_n\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

and write Δ^n as $0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n$. Fix a lift

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = A_0 \sqcup D_0 \longrightarrow A_1 \sqcup D_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n \in Y_n$$

where D_i is in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$; such a lift exists by the definition of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. We prove (a)-(c) in order.
Proof of (a): The vertices of $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ are pairs (i, A) for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and A in Y_0 such that $\alpha(i) = p_2(A)$. By definition, the elements of Y_0 are exactly objects in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$, and p_2 is the identity of these. Thus the vertices of $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ are pairs (i, A_i). The vertices of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ are $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$, which is all of the vertices of $p_2/(n, \alpha)$, so we see that $p_2/(n, \alpha)$ is connected.
Proof of (b): An element of $\pi_1(p_2/(n, \alpha))$ is represented by a zigzag

$$i_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} i_1 \xleftarrow{\beta_1} i_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_m} i_m \in \Delta^n,$$

with $i_0 = i_m$, together with morphisms $f_j\colon A_{i_j} \sqcup E_j \longrightarrow A_{i_{j'}}$ in Y_1, where $\beta_j\colon i_j \longrightarrow i_{j'}$ and E_j in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D})$. We show that any such zigzag is null-homotopic. In this, we use the usual approach for replacing zigzags in categories closed under pullbacks: we show that we can replace any two arrows going in the same direction by a "composition", and then we show that we can replace arrows with the same codomain by arrows with the same domain using the pullback. These two steps allow us to reduce the problem to zigzags of length 1 or 2. Finally, we analyze these two cases. We include all details of this approach because the unusual simplicial structure on $Y_\cdot$ introduces several subtleties.

First, suppose that two successive β_j's go in the same direction; without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta_j\colon i_j \longrightarrow i_{j+1}$ and $\beta_{j+1}\colon i_{j+1} \longrightarrow i_{j+2}$. Then we can construct a 2-simplex represented by

$$A_{i_j} \sqcup E_j \sqcup E_{j+1} \xrightarrow{f_j \sqcup 1_{E_{j+1}}} A_{i_{j+1}} \sqcup E_{j+1} \xrightarrow{f_{j+1}} A_{i_{j+2}},$$

which shows that this zigzag is homotopic to the one where β_j and β_{j+1} are replaced by $i_j \longrightarrow i_{j+2}$ with morphism $A_{i_j} \sqcup (E_j \sqcup E_{j+1}) \longrightarrow A_{i_{j+2}}$.

Now suppose that $\beta_j\colon i_j \longrightarrow i_{j+1}$ and $\beta_{j+1}\colon i_{j+2} \longrightarrow i_{j+1}$ and assume without loss of generality that $i_j \leq i_{j+2}$. Take the pullback of f_j and f_{j+1} to form a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{i_j} \sqcup E & \xrightarrow{f'_j} & A_{i_{j+2}} \sqcup E_{j+2} \\ {\scriptstyle f'_{j+1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_{j+1}} \\ A_{i_j} \sqcup E_j & \xrightarrow{f_j} & A_{i_{j+1}} \end{array}$$

The pullback is of the form $A_{i_j} \sqcup E$ by Lemma 5.7 and because $i_j \leq i_{j+2}$. Thus we can replace these two simplices in the zigzag by the two simplices represented by

$$(i_j \longrightarrow i_j, (A_{i_j} \sqcup E \longrightarrow A_{i_j} \sqcup E_j)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}})$$

and

$$(i_j \longrightarrow i_{j+1}, (A_{i_j} \sqcup E \longrightarrow A_{i_{j+1}} \sqcup E_{j+1})_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}}),$$

thus reversing the directions of the two arrows in the zigzag. (By Lemma 5.8 the first of these is trivial, but that does not matter for the current analysis.)

We now only need to consider zigzags of length 1 or 2. A zigzag of length 1 is a morphism $A_i \sqcup E \longrightarrow A_i$ which projects down to the identity morphism in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$. By Lemma 5.8, this morphism must be the identity morphism, and thus such a zigzag is represented by a degenerate simplex, and is therefore contractible. Now consider a zigzag of length 2. Such a zigzag is represented by a pair of morphisms

$$A_i \xleftarrow{f_1} A_j \sqcup E \qquad A_j \sqcup E' \xrightarrow{f_2} A_i.$$

We thus have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_j \sqcup E'' & \xrightarrow{f'_2} & A_j \sqcup E' \\ {\scriptstyle f'_1}\downarrow & \overset{h}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} \\ A_j \sqcup E & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_i \end{array}$$

where the pullback is $A_j \sqcup E''$ and $(f'_1)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}} = (f'_2)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}} = 1_{A_j}$ by Lemma 5.7. We can replace this zigzag by

$$(j \longrightarrow i, h), (j \longrightarrow j, (f'_1)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}}), (j \longrightarrow j, (f'_2)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}}), (j \longrightarrow i, h).$$

As $(f'_1)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}} = (f'_2)_{\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}} = 1_{A_j}$, the middle two simplices are degenerate and can be removed. The outside two simplices are just the same simplex repeated twice, so we see that this zigzag is also null-homotopic.

Proof of (c): Let $m \geq 2$, and let $\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i(\alpha_i, x_i)$ be an m-cycle in $p_2/(n, \alpha)$. We use Lemma 5.10 to prove that $\epsilon = \partial\beta$ for some β.

We write $x_i \in Y_m$ as

$$X_{i0} \longrightarrow X_{i1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{im},$$

where $X_{im} = A_{\alpha_i(m)}$. For each simplex (α_i, x_i) we have a diagram

$$X_{i0} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{im} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)} \longrightarrow A_n \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the category with

$$\operatorname{ob}\mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{0, \dots, m+1\}/((i, m+1) \sim (i', m+1))$$

for all $1 \leq i, i' \leq k$, and

$$\mathcal{I}((i,j),(i',j')) = \begin{cases} * & \text{if } i = i' \text{ and } j \leq j', \\ * & \text{if } j' = m+1 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi\colon \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ be the functor taking the pair (i,j) to $X_{ij} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)}$ and the morphisms to the morphisms defined by the simplices above. We define $\chi(i, m+1) = A_n$. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the limit of this diagram, which exists because $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ has pullbacks, and write $f_i\colon \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X_{i0}$. Let $\mu = \min_i \alpha_i(0)$; note that by repeated application of Lemma 5.7 we have $\widetilde{X}_{\mathcal{C}\smallsetminus\mathcal{D}} = A_\mu$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}_i\colon [m+1] \longrightarrow [n]$ be defined by $\widetilde{\alpha}_i(j) = \alpha_i(j-1)$ for $j > 0$, and $\widetilde{\alpha}_i(0) = \mu$, and let $\widetilde{x}_i$ be the simplex represented by the diagram

$$\big(\widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{f_i} X_{i0} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)} \longrightarrow X_{i1} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{im} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)}\big)_{\mathcal{C}\smallsetminus\mathcal{D}},$$

which we write

$$\widetilde{X}_i \xrightarrow{h_i} X_{i0} \longrightarrow X_{i1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{im}.$$

By definition, $d_0(\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{x}_i) = (\alpha_i, x_i)$, so to apply Lemma 5.10 it remains to check that if $d_j(\alpha_i, x_i) = d_{j'}(\alpha_{i'}, x_{i'})$ then $d_{j+1}(\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{x}_i) = d_{j'+1}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{i'}, \widetilde{x}_{i'})$..

Let $0 \leq j, j' \leq m$. We want to show that if $d_j(\alpha_i, x_i) = d_{j'}(\alpha_{i'}, x_{i'})$ then $d_{j+1}(\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{x}_i) = d_{j'+1}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{i'}, \widetilde{x}_{i'})$ We need to show that the two diagrams

$$\begin{aligned} D = (&\widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X_{i0} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ &\cdots \longrightarrow \widehat{X}_{ij} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{im} \sqcup D_{\alpha_i(m)})_{\mathcal{C}\smallsetminus\mathcal{D}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D' = (&\widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X_{i'0} \sqcup D_{\alpha_{i'}(m)} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ &\cdots \longrightarrow \widehat{X}_{i'j'} \sqcup D_{\alpha_{i'}(m)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{i'm} \sqcup D_{\alpha_{i'}(m)})_{\mathcal{C}\smallsetminus\mathcal{D}} \end{aligned}$$

are equal. Note that

$$D = Y \longrightarrow X_{i0} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \widehat{X}_{ij} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{im}$$

for some Y, and analogously for D'. The assumption that $d_j x_i = d_{j'} x_{i'}$ implies that the composition of all but the first morphisms are the same in both diagrams, so (as all morphisms in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ are monic by Proposition 1.10(1)) it suffices to show that the total composition of D is the same as the total composition of D'.

For the following discussion, we assume that $0 < j, j' < m$; however, as this is assumed only for ease of notation, it does not affect the proof. We have the following diagram:



As $d_j\alpha_i = d_{j'}\alpha_{i'}$ we know that $\alpha_i(m) = \alpha_{i'}(m)$, and in particular $\kappa(g) = \kappa(g')$. Thus Lemma 5.9 applies, and

$$h_i f = (f_i(f \sqcup 1))_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = (f'_{i'}(f' \sqcup 1)))_{\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{D}} = h_{i'} f'.$$

(When j and j' are either 0 or m this just affects the indices in the above diagram; we define f and f' to be the full compositions in $d_j x_i$ and $d_{j'} x_{i'}$, respectively.) □

5.3. **The functor ι.** Lastly we consider ι. First, we need the following technical result, which allows us to remove "errors" in extending weak equivalences in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$ to weak equivalences in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 5.12. *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed assembler and has complements for all objects in $\mathcal{D}$. Suppose we are given a finite collection of morphisms $f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that for $i \neq i'$, $A_i \times_B A_{i'}$ is in $\mathcal{D}$. Then there exists a morphism*

$$g\colon \{Z_k\}_{k\in K} \longrightarrow \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$$

in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for all $k \neq k' \in K$ the morphisms $f_{g(k)}g_k$ and $f_{g(k')}g_{k'}$ are either equal or disjoint. If they are equal then $g(k) \neq g(k')$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on $|I|$. If $|I| \leq 1$ then the lemma holds tautologically, so we only need to check the inductive step.

Pick $i_0 \in I$, and consider the collection $\{f_i\}_{i\neq i_0}$. The conditions of the lemma apply to this collection, and thus there exists $g\colon \{Z_k\}_{k\in K} \longrightarrow \{A_i\}_{i\neq i_0}$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma. As $\mathcal{C}$ has complements for all objects of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a sieve in $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}$ has complements for $Z_k \times_B A_{i_0}$ (which sits above $A_{g(k)} \times_B A_{i_0}$) for all $k \in K$. Let $\mathcal{G}_k$ be the finite disjoint covering family of Z_k which includes the morphism $Z_k \times_B A_{i_0} \longrightarrow Z_k$; let $\mathcal{F}_k$ be the finite disjoint covering family of A_{i_0} which includes this morphism. Now let $\mathcal{F}$ be a common refinement of the $\mathcal{F}_k$'s and let $\mathcal{G}'_k$ be the refinement of $\mathcal{G}_k$ by $\mathcal{F}$. By definition all of these families are finite disjoint covering families.

A morphism $\{W_l\}_{l\in L} \longrightarrow \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a collection of finite disjoint covering families, one for each $i \in I$. Thus in order to construct the desired morphism, it suffices to give a covering family for each $i \in I$. For i_0, we take $\mathcal{F}$. For $i \neq i_0$, take a refinement of $\{g_k\colon Z_k \longrightarrow A_i\}_{k\in g^{-1}(i)}$ by the relevant $\mathcal{G}'_k$. Then this is the desired morphism.

The last condition in the lemma follows because if $g(k) = g(k')$ then (as all morphisms are monic) $g_k = g_{k'}$ and the covering family

$$\{g_l\colon Z_l \longrightarrow A_{g(k)}\}_{l\in g^{-1}(g(k))}$$

is not a finite disjoint covering family; hence, g is not a valid morphism of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$, which is a contradiction. Thus $g(k) \neq g(k')$ if the two morphisms $f_{g(k)}g_k$ and $f_{g(k')}g_{k'}$ are equal. □

In order to prove that $|\iota|$ is a homotopy equivalence we need a special case of Quillen's Theorem A.

Lemma 5.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category where all morphisms are monomorphisms, and where any diagram $B \longrightarrow A \longleftarrow C$ can be completed to a commutative square. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is a subcategory which contains all objects and has the property that for any morphism $f\colon A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a morphism $g\colon Z \longrightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that fg is in $\mathcal{D}$. Then the inclusion $F\colon \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We use Quillen's Theorem A to show that this functor is a homotopy equivalence. As all morphisms are monomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}/A$ is a preorder for all A. Thus F/A is also a preorder, and by Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show that it is cofiltered to show that it is contractible. Suppose that we are given two objects $f\colon B \longrightarrow A$ and $g\colon C \longrightarrow A$ in F/A. We then have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \\ & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g'} \\ & & & & B \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f'} & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

Here, f' and g' complete f and g to a commutative square, as we assumed was possible in $\mathcal{C}$. Let α be a morphism $Y \longrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $g'\alpha$ is in $\mathcal{D}$; there exists such an α by the property in the lemma. Similarly, let β be a morphism $Z \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $f'\alpha\beta$ is in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus the morphism $Z \longrightarrow C$ is in $\mathcal{D}$, and the morphism $Z \longrightarrow B$ is in $\mathcal{D}$, so the object $gf'\alpha\beta\colon Z \longrightarrow A$ is an object of F/A over both f and g. □

We are now ready to show that $|\iota|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Lemma 5.14. *$|\iota|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We show that $|\iota|$ is a homotopy equivalence using Lemma 5.13. In $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$ all morphisms are monic and any diagram $B \longrightarrow A \longleftarrow C$ can be completed to a commutative square. The only property that remains to be checked is that for any morphism $f\colon A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$, there exists a morphism $g\colon Z \longrightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ such that fg is in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. As any morphism in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$ can be written as a coproduct of morphisms $f\colon \{A_i\}_{i\in I} \longrightarrow \{B\}$ it suffices to check that g exists for such morphisms.

Consider any morphism $\widetilde{f}\colon \{A_i\}_{i\in \widetilde{I}} \longrightarrow \{B\} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D})$. The family $\{\widetilde{f}_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B\}_{i\in\widetilde{I}}$ can be completed to a covering family in $\mathcal{C}$ by morphisms with domains in $\mathcal{D}$; write this whole family $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B\}_{i\in I}$. It satisfies the condition of Lemma 5.12, and thus we have a

$$\widehat{g}\colon Z = \{Z_k\}_{k\in K} \longrightarrow \{A_i\}_{i\in I} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$$

such that for all $k \neq k' \in K$, $f_{g(k)}g_k$ and $f_{g(k')}g_{k'}$ are either disjoint or equal. Thus the family $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{g(k)}g_k\colon Z_k \longrightarrow B\}_{k\in K}$ is a finite covering family, but it may not be disjoint because for some $k \neq k'$ $f_{g(k)}g_k$ might equal $f_{g(k')}g_{k'}$. However, in this case, Z_k can complete $f_{g(k)}$ and $f_{g(k')}$ to a square. By Lemma 5.12 in this case $g(k) \neq g(k')$, which means that Z_k is in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus the only obstructions to $\mathcal{F}$ defining a morphism of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is duplication of some morphisms with domains in $\mathcal{D}$. Consequently, the morphism defined by the family

$$\{f_{g(k)}g_k\colon Z_k \longrightarrow B\}_{k\in\widetilde{K}},$$

where $\widetilde{K} = \{k \in K \mid Z_k \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{D}\}$, is a valid morphism of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. We therefore define $g\colon \{Z_k\}_{k\in\widetilde{K}} \longrightarrow \{A_i\}_{i\in\widetilde{I}}$ to be the restriction of $\widehat{g}$ to $\widetilde{K}$; this also gives a valid morphism of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

To check that g satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.13 it remains to check that the composition $\widetilde{f}g$ is in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. $\widetilde{f}g$ is the morphism $\{Z_k\}_{k\in\widetilde{K}} \longrightarrow \{B\}$; we need to check that this covering family can be completed to a finite disjoint covering family in $\mathcal{C}$. Consider the set $\{f_{\widehat{g}(k)}\widehat{g}_k \mid k \in K\}$. These are a covering family of B in $\mathcal{C}$, as they are the refinement of a covering family $\{f_i\colon A_i \longrightarrow B \mid i \in I\}$ by the covering families $\{Z_k \longrightarrow A_i \mid k \in \widehat{g}^{-1}(i)\}$. From the construction of $\widehat{g}$, all distinct elements in the set are disjoint, so this is a finite disjoint covering family which is the completion of the family we are considering. □

This wraps up the proof of Theorem D.

References

[BF78] A. K. Bousfield and E. M. Friedlander. Homotopy theory of Γ-spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets. In *Geometric applications of homotopy theory (Proc. Conf., Evanston, Ill., 1977), II*, volume 658 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 80–130. Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[Dri98] L.V. Dries. *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*. London Mathematical Society lecture note series. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[DS82] Johan L. Dupont and Chih Han Sah. Scissors congruences. II. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 25(2):159–195, 1982.

[Dup01] Johan L. Dupont. *Scissors congruences, group homology and characteristic classes*, volume 1 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

[Gon99] Alexander Goncharov. Volumes of hyperbolic manifolds and mixed Tate motives. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(2):569–618, 1999.

[Goo] Thomas Goodwillie. Total scissors congruence. http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.7120.

[HK06] Ehud Hrushovski and David Kazhdan. Integration in valued fields. In *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, volume 253 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 261–405. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.

[Joh02] Peter T. Johnstone. *Sketches of an elephant: a topos theory compendium. Vol. 2*, volume 44 of *Oxford Logic Guides*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.

[Kel82] Gregory Maxwell Kelly. *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, volume 64 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982.

[LL03] Michael Larsen and Valery A. Lunts. Motivic measures and stable birational geometry. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 3(1):85–95, 259, 2003.

[Loo02] Eduard Looijenga. Motivic measures. *Astérisque*, 276:267–297, 2002. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1999/2000.

[McM89] Peter McMullen. The polytope algebra. *Adv. Math.*, 78(1):76–130, 1989.

[NS11] Johannes Nicaise and Julien Sebag. The Grothendieck ring of varieties. In *Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-Archimedean geometry. Volume I*, volume 383 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 145–188. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[Qui73] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic K-theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[Sah79] C. H. Sah. *Hilbert's third problem: scissors congruence*, volume 33 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass., 1979.

[Seg74] Graeme Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.

[Wal78] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of generalized free products. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 108(1):135–204, 1978.

[Wal85] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of spaces. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983)*, volume 1126 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 318–419. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[ZakA] Inna Zakharevich. The annihilator of the Lefschetz motive. http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.06200.

[ZakB] Inna Zakharevich. On K_1 of an assembler. http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.06197.

[Zak12] Inna Zakharevich. Scissors congruence as K-theory. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 14:181–202, 2012.

[Zak13] Inna Zakharevich. Simplicial polytope complexes and deloopings of K-theory. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 15:301–330, 2013.